Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

SCOTT JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

ASNM, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.17-cv-04125-VKD

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE SETTLEMENT

The Court having been informed that the parties have conditionally agreed to a settlement, all previously scheduled deadlines and appearances are VACATED.

On or before October 26, 2018, the parties shall file a stipulated dismissal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii). Rule 41(a)(1) permits a plaintiff to voluntarily dismiss a case without a court order (i) by notice if the defendants have not filed an answer or motion for summary judgment, or (ii) by stipulation signed by all parties who have appeared. Because defendants have filed their answers, plaintiff must file a notice of dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii).

If a dismissal is not filed by the specified date, then the parties shall appear in Courtroom 2, 5th Floor, 280 S. 1st St, San Jose, California on November 6, 2018, 10:00 a.m. and show cause, if any, why the case should not be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a). Additionally, the parties shall file a statement in response to this Order to Show Cause no later than October 30, 2018 advising as to (1) the status of the activities of the parties in finalizing settlement; and (2) how much additional time, if any, is requested to finalize the settlement and file the dismissal. If a dismissal is filed as ordered, the Order to Show Cause hearing will be automatically vacated and the parties need not file a statement in response to this Order.

Northern District of California United States District Court

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 28, 2018

VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI United States Magistrate Judge